

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,361	10/14/2003	John W. Smith	TESSERA 3.0-196 DIV	4397	
38091 7	7590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER		
	AVID, LITENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
WESTFIELD,	· · <del></del> -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1m -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,361	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
Period for Reply		(a) == a				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		ian Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •		000			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	eu in this National St	aye			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
355 the attached detailed Office deticin for a list	Co. The designed deplots flot received	Type A. A	uslag			
		LYNNE A. GUR	RLEY ()			
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY PATENT	•			
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		312			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	<b>5</b> 2)			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.</li> </ol>	) 5) Motice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/685,361

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the figures 1-5 are too closely spaced together. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fjelstad et al. (US 6,573,609, dated 6/3/03, filed 1/26/01).

Application/Control Number: 10/685,361 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Fjelstad shows the method as claimed in figures 1-8 and corresponding text, particularly in the embodiment of figures 3 and 7-8 and their corresponding text, with active microelectronic elements which may be permanently attached to 101; interconnect element 110 with conductors including copper conductors 113 and 108; joining the interconnect element to the active element to connect the interconnect conductors to the active devices (fig. 3 and corresponding text); and connecting flexible terminals 119 (also see figs. 7-8) to at least some of the interconnect conductors so that the terminals are movable with respect to the interconnect body and so that the terminals are exposed for connection to an external substrate (connected to 121). There are contact pads 107/108. The contacts are substantially rigid metal to metal interconnects between the contacts and contact pads. Bonding may be formed as in fig. 7, in a substantially solid-phase bonding process or by solder (column 19, lines 1-30).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/685,361

Art Unit: 2812

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2-4, 6-7, 11 and 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Fielstad et al. (US 6,573,609, dated 6/3/03, filed 1/26/01).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter

Application/Control Number: 10/685,361

Art Unit: 2812

disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Fjelstad shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the previous paragraphs. Sealing is taught in another embodiment (fig. 1).

Fjelstad lacks anticipation only in not teaching the coefficient of thermal expansion; the sequence of steps to connect the terminals; the sequence of steps to sever active regions and interconnect regions; gold; and deforming the leads.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the claimed range of thermal expansion depending on what the substrate and base materials were made.

They may be similar materials.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the claimed sequence of steps to connect the terminals, with the motivation that depending upon the heat sensitivity of the active devices and upon the method of connection of the terminals, connecting the terminals before or after the connection is made with heat or without heat would have been dependent upon the melting point temperature constraints of the materials.

Art Unit: 2812

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have deformed the leads with the motivation that depending upon the substrate the leads would be attached to, the temperature and geometry constraints would dictate the deformation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 571-272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner

Syre A. Husley

TC 2800, AU 2812

LAG

June 19, 2004